RESEARCH PROPOSAL EVALUATION/SCORING SHEET

Program/Project Title: _____

_____

Proponent: _____

College/Unit:_____

A. TECHNICAL ASPECTS (80 POINTS)

Criteria	Score

1. **Significance of the proposal** (**20 points**)   _____

- In consonance with the University's Research Thrusts and Priorities
- Extent of likely net benefits to be derived

Potential contribution to science, rural households, industry,
        commodity/sector, region, or national economy, etc.
Utility of R & D results

2. **Technical Merit of the Proposal (60points)**

- **Objectives** (Adequacy, Clarity, Attainability) (10 points)   _____

Adequacy of literature review (10 pts)   _____
   - Comprehensiveness/exhaustiveness
   - Currentness of the state of the art used
   - Exhaustiveness of prior search on related technologies

Analytical Framework and Methodology (20 points)   _____
        Adequacy of analytical framework
        Appropriateness of research design and statistical tools to be used
        Completeness/relevance of variables
        Adequacy of data collection method
        Consistency of planned activities with the research objectives, expected
         outputs, available manpower and financial resources

Contribution to new knowledge (20 points**)**   _____
        Extent to which the expected outputs could help eliminate,
        mitigate or prevent the problem and its attendant negative
        consequences from occurring
        Significance of expected outputs, whether national or of international
        importance
        Superiority of expected outputs to existing knowledge/technologies
        Complimentarity of the expected outputs with the interest of
        various Stakeholders

B. FINANCIAL ASPECT (20 POINTS)

- Budget reasonableness (10 points)   _____

Availability of counterpart funds from other sources (10 points)   _____

TOTAL RATING   _____

Remarks:_____
_____
_____
_____
_____

C. RECOMMENDATION
For Technical Review Committee Use Only

☐ For In-House Review after revision   Assessed and Rated by:

☐ Rejected due to major revisions
                                                _____
☐   Technical Reviewer/Date
   For Ethics Review   Printed name and Signature

D. RECOMMENDATION
For In-House Evaluator Use Only

☐ For Implementation   Assessed and Rated by:

☐ Not for Implementation
                                                _____
                                                   Evaluator/Date
                                                Printed name and Signature